UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

Herl	indo S	andoval-Perea	Case Number:	19-130MJ
		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(fare established: (Check one or both,	•	n submitted to the Court. I conclude
•		nvincing evidence the defendant is this case.	a danger to the community and	I require the detention of the defendant
	•	ance of the evidence the defendant this case.	is a serious flight risk and requ	ire the detention of the defendant
		PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT	
(1)		.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant nave been a federal offense if a circ		al offense)(state or local offense that jurisdiction had existed) that is
		a crime of violence as defined in 1	8 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
		an offense for which the maximum	sentence is life imprisonment	or death.
		an offense for which a maximum to	erm of imprisonment of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1	the defendant had been convict)(A)-(C), or comparable state o	r local offenses.
			d in section 921), or any other d	ssion or use of a firearm or destructive angerous weapon, or involves a failure
(2)	18 U.S pending	.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense deg trial for a federal, state or local off	scribed in finding 1 was commit ense.	tted while the defendant was on release
(3)	18 U.S convict	.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not ion)(release of the defendant from i	more than five years has elaps mprisonment) for the offense de	ed since the (date of escribed in finding 1.
(4)	will rea	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a re sonably assure the safety of (an)oth utted this presumption.	ebuttable presumption that no coner person(s) and the communit	condition or combination of conditions ty. I further find that the defendant has
		Alter	native Findings	
(1)	18 U.S	.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable c	ause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense
		for which a maximum term of impr	isonment of ten years or more i	s prescribed in1
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a),	or 2332b.	
		under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for wl prescribed.	hich a maximum term of impriso	onment of 20 years or more is
		an offense involving a minor victim	under section	.2
(2)	The de condition	fendant has not rebutted the presurons will reasonably assure the appe	nption established by finding 1 arance of the defendant as req	that no condition or combination of uired and the safety of the community.

 $^{^{1}} Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$

	Alternative Findings
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
(4)	
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history.

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant was on probation while charged with the instant offense.

The defendant has ties to a foreign country. There is no evidence of defendant having community ties in the District of Arizona or elsewhere within the United States. The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2019

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge

7 hichelle